

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,332	03/12/2004	Simon Peter Edwards	2002-016	9013
75	90 06/22/2005		EXAMINER	
Terry L. Miller			DOUGLAS, STEVEN O	
24832 Via San I Mission Viejo,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>3</b> -7			3751	
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/200	5 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			5 V			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
	10/800,332	EDWARDS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven O. Douglas	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repil f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 i	<u>March 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	- ·	11, 400 0.0. 210.				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	-				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	cepted or b) objected to be e drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (a) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apority documents have been in au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Art Unit: 3751

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Specie I. (Figures 1-10), Specie II (Figures 11-12), Specie III (Figures 13-21), Specie IV (Figures 22-27), Specie V (Figures 28-30), Specie VI (Figures 31-35), and Specie VII (Figures 36-45a).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Terry L. Miller and a message was left on 6-20-05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement. However, due to the complexity of the Election Examiner informed Applicant that a written communication would be forth coming.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

NOTE: It is acknowledged that Applicant has made an attempt to remedy the existing claim numbering problems with the preliminary amendment filed 3-12-04. However, upon inspection of the amended listing of claims Examiner has taken notice to the fact that there now exists two claims numbered 12 and claims 41 and 42 now do not exist. Examiner suggests Applicant to cancel all claims 1-43 and substitute a new set of claims starting with a new claim 44 in order to avoid confusion.

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is (571) 272-4885.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:00-6:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven O. Douglas Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3751

SD

6-20-05